IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE SEVENTH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE ELIPE DHARMA RAO

WRIT PETITION No. 22149 of 2001

Between:

1. Vade Venkataiah S/o. late Masaiah, Aged 50 years,
   R/o. Jukal Village, Shamshabad Mandal, R.R. District.
2. Vade Narsaiah S/o. late Masaiah, Aged 50 years,
   R/o. Jukal Village, Shamshabad Mandal, R.R. District.
3. Vade Ramulu S/o. Masaiah, Aged 30 years,
   R/o. Jukal Village, Shamshabad Mandal, R.R. District.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1 The Revenue Divisional Officer,
   Chevella Division at Hyderabad.
2 The Mandal Revenue Officer,
   Shamshabad Mandal, Shamshabad, R.R.District.
3 Kurva Yadaiah S/o. Gandaiah
   R/o. Jukal Village, Shamshabad Mandal,
   R.R. District.
4 Kurva Jangaiah S/o. Gandaiah, Agriculturist,
   R/o. Jukal Village, Shamshabad Mandal,
   R.R. District.
5 Kurva Mallaiah S/o. Gandaiah, Agriculturist,
   R/o. Jukal Village, Shamshabad Mandal,
   R.R. District.
6 Kurva Narayana S/o. Nagaiah, Agriculturist,
   R/o. Jukal Village, Shamshabad Mandal,
   R.R. District.
7 Kurva Narsimha S/o. Nagaiah, Agriculturist,

R/o. Jukal Village, Shamshabad Mandal,
R.R. District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ or order or direction more in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the impugned proceedings of the 2nd respondent bearing Lr.No.B/195/2001, dated 21.7.2001 as illegal, arbitrary, contrary to A.P. Rights in land and Pattedar pass books Act 1977 and also in violation of principles of natural justice and consequently set aside the same and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.B.RANGANADH RAO

Counsel for the Respondents No.1 & 2: G.P. for Revenue

Counsel for the Respondents No.3 to 7: Mr. Resu Mahender Reddy

The Court made the following :

ORDER:

It is represented across the Bar that after filing the writ petition, the petitioners have preferred an appeal before the Revenue Divisional Officer,Chevella, Rangareddy District against the impugned order and it was disposed of on 5.3.2005. The order against which the writ petition is filed merges with the order passed by the Appellate Authority. Consequently, the writ petition has become infructuous and is accordingly dismissed having become infructuous. No order as to costs.

Elipe Dharma Rao, J.

July 7, 2005

To

1. The Revenue Divisional Officer,

Chevella Division at Hyderabad.

2. The Mandal Revenue Officer,
   Shamshabad Mandal, Shamshabad, R.R.District.

3. Two CCs to the Govt. Pleader for Revenue, A.P. High Court

Buildings, Hyderabad.

4. 2 CD copies

5. 1 CC to MR.R. MAHENDER REDDY

*Form-NIC-OGS/WP{**MADHURI**}*